

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/849,570	05/20/2004	Bobby L. Williamson	005242.00132 6572	
22907	7590 07/21/2006		EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF 1001 G STREET N W			YAO, SAMCHUAN CUA	
SUITE 1100	EIN W		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001			1733	

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/849,570	WILLIAMSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sam Chuan C. Yao	1733			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	•			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the I	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	, ,			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document					
2. Certified copies of the priority document					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	, ,,,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	ea.			
Attachmont/ol					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			
Polant and Trademark Office	٠, <u>٠</u> , ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠,				

Application/Control Number: 10/849,570 Page 2

Art Unit: 1733

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-12, 15-16,18-20, drawn to a method for making LVL, classified in class 156, subclass 335.
- Claims 13-14 and 17, drawn to an adhesive, classified in class 528, subclass 129.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as using the recited adhesive composition as a sealant **or** using the recited adhesive as a binder for bonding wood fibers.
- 3. If Group I is elected, this group is further subdivided into the following 3 subgroupings:

Subgroup IA (claims 1-12), independent claim 1 requires "at least one of said veneers has a moisture content of <u>less than about</u> 7% by weight or said plurality of wood veneers has an average moisture content of <u>less than about</u> 10% by weight" (**A**; emphasis added);

Art Unit: 1733

Subgroup IB (claims 15-16), independent claim 15 requires applying an adhesive composition comprising "a soya compound having a protein level of at least about 50% by weight" (B);

Subgroup IC (claims 18-20), independent claim 18 requires "at least one of said veneers has a moisture content of greater than about 15% by weight or said plurality of wood veneers has an average moisture content of greater than about 10% by weight" (**C**; emphasis added).

4. If Group II is elected, this group is further subdivided into the following 2 subgroupings:

Subgroup IIX (claims 13-14), independent claim 13 requires "a soya compound having a protein level of at least about 50% by weight" (X);

Subgroup IIY (claim 17), independent claim 17 requires an adhesive composition comprising "a catalyst selected from the group consisting of an acetate, a carbamate, as ester, a lactone, and a carbonate" (Y).

Sub-groupings IA-IC and IIX-IIY are directed to distinct methods and compositions. The patentability in the independent claims of each group is based on divergent process limitations or divergent composition limitations, as noted above. The differences between these subgroups are critical and significant to the extent that the inventions constitute prima facie patentably distinct combinations, absent evidence to the contrary. This can readily and clearly be demonstrated by a side-by-side comparison of the independent claims. For instance, claim 1 in subgroup IA requires limitation A, but not limitation B required in subgroup IB or limitation C in subgroup IC

Application/Control Number: 10/849,570

Art Unit: 1733

and vice versa. Likewise, claim 13 in subgroup IIX requires limitation X, but not limitation Y required in subgroup IIY and vice versa. Similarities of the independent claims are merely superficial, since certain significant limitations in one of the groups find no counterpart in the other group(s) and vice versa.

Presently, no claim is generic. Rejoinder of these non-elected subgrouping(s) will be considered, upon indication of allowable subject matter, depending on the basis thereof.

- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. A telephone call was made to Mr. Joseph Skerpon on 07-12-06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Application/Control Number: 10/849,570

Art Unit: 1733

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sam Chuan C. Yao whose telephone number is (571) 272-1224. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday with second Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/849,570

Art Unit: 1733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sam Chuan C. Yao Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733 Page 6

Scy 07-20-06